
Exercises on Conformal Field Theory

Dr. Hans Jockers, Andreas Gerhardus

<http://www.th.physik.uni-bonn.de/klemm/cftss16/>

–HOME EXERCISES–

Due on July 1st, 2016

H 10.1 Selection rules for structure constants (4 points)

In this exercise we want to derive selection rules for the structure constants of a conformal field theory by employing singular vectors. In particular, consider a primary ϕ_0 — whose corresponding highest weight state is denoted $|h_0\rangle$ — with a null descendant $|\chi_N\rangle$

$$|\chi_N\rangle = \sum \alpha_{\{\vec{k}\}} L_{-\{\vec{k}\}} |h_0\rangle \quad (1)$$

at level N . Here, $L_{-\{\vec{k}\}} \equiv L_{-k_1} \dots L_{-k_l}$ with the usual ordering convention $k_1 \leq k_2 \leq \dots \leq k_l$ and the sum is over all \vec{k} such that $\sum k_i = N$. This null descendant enables us to determine when the three-point correlator

$$\langle \phi_0(z_0) \phi_1(z_1) \phi_2(z_2) \rangle \quad (2)$$

of the primaries ϕ_0, ϕ_1, ϕ_2 necessarily has to vanish. For simplicity we suppress any antiholomorphic dependence.

- a) Use the null descendant to write down a differential equation for the above three-point correlator. Then, use the explicit form of the three-point function and consider the leading term in the limit $z_0 \rightarrow z_1$. Deduce a polynomial equation for the conformal weights h_0, h_1, h_2 .
- b) Explain how this equation can be used to check whether a given three-point function has to vanish. Check explicitly that the fusion rules of the $m = 3$ minimal model are in accord with the derived selection rules.

H 10.2 Differential equation for a four-point function (6 points)

Let us consider the $m = 3$ minimal model, which has a primary field σ with $h_\sigma = 1/16$ (for simplicity we again neglect any antiholomorphic dependence). Recall that the corresponding primary state $|h_\sigma\rangle$ has a null descendant

$$|\chi_{1,2}\rangle = \left(L_{-2} - \frac{4}{3} L_{-1}^2 \right) |h_\sigma\rangle \quad (3)$$

at level 2. In this exercise we will see how this null state puts further constraints on the four-point function

$$\langle \sigma(z_0) \sigma(z_1) \sigma(z_2) \sigma(z_3) \rangle = \prod_{0 \leq i < j \leq 3} z_{ij}^{-\frac{1}{24}} f(\eta), \quad (4)$$

where $z_{ij} = z_i - z_j$ and $\eta = z_{01} z_{23} / (z_{03} z_{21})$.

a) Show that

$$\left(\sum_{k=1}^3 \left[\frac{1}{16z_{0k}^2} + \frac{1}{z_{0k}} \frac{d}{dz_k} \right] - \frac{4}{3} \frac{d^2}{dz_0^2} \right) \langle \sigma(z_0)\sigma(z_1)\sigma(z_2)\sigma(z_3) \rangle = 0 . \quad (5)$$

b) Note that the derivatives in eq. (5) act on the prefactors $z_{ij}^{-\frac{1}{24}}$ and, via chain rule, on $f(\eta)$. This effectively leads to the replacements

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dz_k} &= a_k(\vec{z}) + b_k(\vec{z}) \frac{d}{d\eta} , \quad k = 1, \dots, 3 \\ \frac{d^2}{dz_0^2} &= c(\vec{z}) + d(\vec{z}) \frac{d}{d\eta} + e(\vec{z}) \frac{d^2}{d\eta^2} \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

for some functions $a_k, b_k, c, d,$ and e . Once you have obtained these expressions take the limits $z_1 \rightarrow 0, z_2 \rightarrow 1, z_3 \rightarrow \infty, z_0 \rightarrow \eta$ to arrive at

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dz_1} &= +\frac{1}{24} \left(\frac{1}{\eta} + 1 \right) + (\eta - 1) \frac{d}{d\eta} , \\ \frac{d}{dz_2} &= +\frac{1}{24} \left(\frac{1}{\eta - 1} - 1 \right) - \eta \frac{d}{d\eta} , \\ \frac{d}{dz_3} &= 0 , \\ \frac{d^2}{dz_0^2} &= \frac{25}{576} \left(\frac{1}{\eta^2} + \frac{1}{(\eta - 1)^2} \right) + \frac{1}{288} \frac{1}{\eta(\eta - 1)} - \frac{1}{12} \left(\frac{1}{\eta} + \frac{1}{\eta - 1} \right) \frac{d}{d\eta} + \frac{d^2}{d\eta^2} . \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

Note that in this formulation $d/d\eta$ acts on $f(\eta)$ only, but not on the z_{ij} .

c) Show that $f(\eta)$ is annihilated by the differential operator

$$\frac{d^2}{d\eta^2} + \left[\frac{3(2\eta - 1)}{4\eta(\eta - 1)} - \frac{1}{12} \left(\frac{1}{\eta} + \frac{1}{\eta - 1} \right) \right] \frac{d}{d\eta} - \frac{5}{144} \left[\frac{1}{\eta^2} + \frac{1}{(\eta - 1)^2} \right] + \frac{5}{144\eta(\eta - 1)} . \quad (8)$$