## Exercises on Theoretical Astroparticle Physics Prof. Dr. H.-P. Nilles – P.D. Dr. S. Förste

We look at the instantons and axions...

## 1. Instantons

We consider instantons of SU(2) gauge theories in the four-dimensional euclidean space  $M = \mathbb{R}^4$ . The action is given by

$$S_{YM}^E = -\frac{1}{2g^2} \int_M d^4 x \operatorname{tr} \mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu} \mathcal{F}^{\mu\nu}$$
(1)

where  $\mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu} = \partial_{\mu}\mathcal{A}_{\nu} - \partial_{\nu}\mathcal{A}_{\mu} + [\mathcal{A}_{\mu}, \mathcal{A}_{\nu}]$ . The gauge field  $\mathcal{A}_{\mu}$  and the field strength  $\mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu}$ are Lie-algebra valued quantities, i.e.  $\mathcal{A}_{\mu} = \mathcal{A}_{\mu}{}^{a}T_{a}$  and  $\mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu} = \mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu}{}^{a}T_{a}$  respectively with  $T_{a} \in \mathfrak{su}(2)$  being the traceless anti-hermitean  $N \times N$  generators. The generators satisfy  $[T_{a}, T_{b}] = f_{abc}T_{c}$  with real structure constants and  $\operatorname{tr} T_{a}T_{b} = -\frac{1}{2}\delta_{ab}$ . For  $\mathfrak{su}(2)$  we have  $T_{a} = -\frac{i}{2}\sigma_{a}$ . A Yang-Mills instanton is a solution to the equations of motion with finite action. We evaluate the trace in (1) and rewrite the action

$$\frac{1}{4g^2} \int_M d^4 x F_{\mu\nu}{}^a F^{\mu\nu a} \equiv \frac{1}{4g^2} \int_M d^4 x F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu}.$$
 (2)

Furthermore we define the dual field strength  $*\mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu}$  as follows

$$*\mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{2} \epsilon_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} \mathcal{F}^{\rho\sigma}.$$
(3)

To find solutions with finite action, we require that  $\mathcal{F}$  tends to zero at infinity, i.e.  $\mathcal{A}$  becomes a pure gauge at the infinity

$$\mathcal{A}_{\mu} = U^{-1} \partial_{\mu} U \text{ as } x^2 \to \infty \text{ and } U \in SU(2).$$
 (4)

One can classify fields with this boundary condition. It is the integral over the first *Pontryagin class* given by

$$k = -\frac{1}{16\pi^2} \int_M d^4 x \operatorname{tr} \mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu} * \mathcal{F}^{\mu\nu}.$$
 (5)

One can show that k takes integer-values.

(a) Show that for

$$K_{\mu} = -\frac{1}{8\pi^2} \epsilon_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} \operatorname{tr} \mathcal{A}_{\nu} \left( \partial_{\rho} \mathcal{A}_{\sigma} + \frac{2}{3} \mathcal{A}_{\rho} \mathcal{A}_{\sigma} \right).$$
(6)

that  $\partial^{\mu} K_{\mu} = -\frac{1}{16\pi^2} \operatorname{tr} \mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu} * \mathcal{F}^{\mu\nu}$ . Thus, the integral (5) is reduced to an integral over a three-sphere at the infinity and it counts how many times this sphere covers the gauge group three-sphere  $S^3 \cong SU(2)$ . Thus, mathematically one looks at the third homotopy group  $\pi_3(SU(2)) = \mathbb{Z}$  of the gauge group.

(b) Derive the following inequality by completing the square with  $*\mathcal{F}$ 

$$S_{YM}^E \ge \frac{8\pi^2}{g^2} |k|.$$
 (7)

When is this inequality saturated?

The field configurations with self and anti-selfdual field strength

$$\mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu} = \pm * \mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu} \tag{8}$$

are called *instanton* and *anti-instanton* respectively. Obviously the action is minimized by these field configurations.

(c) We define

$$\nu = -\frac{1}{24\pi^2} \int_{S^3_{\infty}} d\theta_1 d\theta_2 d\theta_3 \epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho} \operatorname{tr} g \partial_{\mu} g^{-1} g \partial_{\nu} g^{-1} g \partial_{\rho} g^{-1}.$$
(9)

The  $\theta_i$  are some angle variables which parametrize  $S^3_{\infty}$  at the infinity. How these are chosen is irrelevant. Show that  $\nu$  is invariant under continuous deformations. It suffices to show that  $\nu$  is invariant under infinitesimal deformations. Use that for any Lie group, a general infinitesimal transformation is given by

$$\delta g = g \delta \lambda_a(x) T_a \equiv g \delta T. \tag{10}$$

One can show that  $k = \nu$ , i.e the number k is invariant under deformations. The invariance under these deformations means that it's homotopy invariant. The relation of instantons and homotopy will be briefly explained in the exercise session.

## 2. Anomalies

Consider a massless fermion coupled to a U(1) gauge boson.

$$\mathcal{L} = \bar{\psi} \mathbf{i} \mathcal{D} \psi - \frac{1}{4} F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu}$$

Now perform a local infinitesimal axial transformation:

$$\delta\psi(x) = \mathrm{i}\alpha(x)\gamma_5\psi(x)$$

- (a) Find that the Lagrangian transforms as  $\delta \mathcal{L} = \alpha(x)\partial_{\mu}j_{5}^{\mu}(x)$  with  $j_{5}^{\mu}(x) = \bar{\psi}(x)\gamma^{\mu}\gamma_{5}\psi(x)$  being the axial current.
- (b) Since  $\psi$  is massless, show that the axial rotation is a symmetry of the theory. What does this imply for the classical theory?
- (c) In the quantum theory we also have to transform the functional measure. A long calculation shows that

$$\mathcal{D}\psi\mathcal{D}\bar{\psi} \to \mathcal{D}\psi\mathcal{D}\bar{\psi} \exp\left\{\mathrm{i}\int\mathrm{d}x \; \alpha(x) \left(\frac{e^2}{16\pi^2}\epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}F_{\mu\nu}F_{\rho\sigma}\right)\right\}.$$

Now you should find the Adler–Bell–Jackiew anomaly:

$$\partial_{\mu}j_{5}^{\mu} = -\frac{e^{2}}{16\pi^{2}}\epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}F_{\mu\nu}F_{\rho\sigma}$$



Figure 1: triangle diagram

(d) Show that this leads to a non-conservation of the difference between left- and righthanded fermions in an setup with parallel electric and magnetic fields:

$$\Delta(N_L - N_R) = \frac{e^2}{4\pi^2} \int \mathrm{d}^4 x \, \vec{E} \cdot \vec{B}$$

With  $N_{L/R} = \int d^3x \ \bar{\psi} \gamma^0 P_{L/R} \psi$ .

(e) This type of anomaly appeares only for the axial current. Now imagine the case of a U(1) gauge theory together with some chiral fermions. Now if they appear in pairs forming a Dirac fermion, then the U(1) couples to the vector current and therefore won't be anomalous. Otherwise, like in the SM, there will usually appear gauge anomalies which break the classical U(1) gauge symmetry on the quantum level. The anomaly can also be explained by the triangle diagrams (see fig. 1) where three gauge bosons couple to a chiral fermion loop. All chiral fermions can appear in the loop, so one has to sum over them with the respective charges as coefficients. Show that in the SM the  $SU(2)_L - SU(2)_L - Y$  and the Y - Y - Yanomalies vanish.