Exercises on Theoretical Particle Physics II Prof. Dr. H.P. Nilles

Due 12.5.2014

10. SUSY Breaking

SUSY is broken spontaneously if $\langle Q_{\alpha} \rangle \neq 0$. This, in turn, is equivalent to the existence of an $|X\rangle$ such that $\langle X|Q_{\alpha}|0\rangle \neq 0$, or

$$\langle 0|\{Q_{\alpha}, \hat{X}\}|0\rangle = \langle \delta_{(\epsilon,\bar{\epsilon})}\hat{X}\rangle \neq 0, \tag{1}$$

where \hat{X} is any operator in the theory and $\langle \delta_{(\epsilon,\bar{\epsilon})} \hat{X} \rangle$ denotes the VEV of the SUSY variation of the operator \hat{X} . We will consider the classical limit at tree level (without quantum corrections) in which $\langle \delta_{(\epsilon,\bar{\epsilon})} \hat{X} \rangle = \delta_{(\epsilon,\bar{\epsilon})} X$ for a classical field X.

(a) While SUSY should be broken, Poincaré invariance should be maintained. Which operators \hat{X} can be allowed to develop a VEV $\langle \hat{X} \rangle$ without breaking the Poincaré invariance of the vacuum? What does this imply for the SUSY variation?

 $(2 \ credits)$

- (b) Look at the SUSY variations of all fields in the chiral multiplet. What are the consequences of the vanishing of $\delta_{(\epsilon,\bar{\epsilon})}\psi$ for SUSY breaking and for the potential $V = |F|^2$? When is SUSY broken? (2 credits)
- (c) Now look at the vector multiplet with component fields V^{μ} , λ , and D. Their SUSY variations are

$$\begin{split} \delta_{(\epsilon,\bar{\epsilon})}V^{\mu} &= -\mathrm{i}\lambda\bar{\sigma}^{\mu}\epsilon + \mathrm{i}\bar{\epsilon}\bar{\sigma}^{\mu}\lambda\,,\\ \delta_{(\epsilon,\bar{\epsilon})}\lambda &= \bar{\sigma}^{\mu\nu}\epsilon F_{\mu\nu} + \mathrm{i}\epsilon D\,,\\ \delta_{(\epsilon,\bar{\epsilon})}D &= -\epsilon\sigma^{\mu}D_{\mu}\bar{\lambda} - D_{\mu}\lambda\sigma^{\mu}\bar{\epsilon}\,, \end{split}$$

where D_{μ} denotes the covariant derivative. Perform the same analysis as in (b). Note that in this case the potential is $V = \frac{1}{2}D^2$. (2 credits)

(d) Alternatively, we can look at the SUSY algebra itself. Express the Hamiltonian $H = P^0$ in terms of Q_{α} and $\bar{Q}_{\dot{\alpha}}$ and infer an inequality for the energy E on the spectrum of any SUSY theory. When is the inequality an equality? (2 credits)

11. F-term breaking in the O'Raifeartaigh model (12 credits)

In the O'Raifeartaigh model, there are three (left-)chiral superfields X, Y, and Z. Let us denote the component fields of X by (x, ψ_x, F_x) (and analogously for Y and Z). We take the easiest choice for K, such that

$$\mathcal{L}_D = K(X, Y, Z)|_{\theta^2 \bar{\theta}^2} = (X^{\dagger} X)|_{\theta^2 \bar{\theta}^2} + (Y^{\dagger} Y)|_{\theta^2 \bar{\theta}^2} + (Z^{\dagger} Z)|_{\theta^2 \bar{\theta}^2}, \qquad (2)$$

 $(8 \ credits)$

where the vertical bar means restriction to the highest component. The superpotential is given by

$$W(X, Y, Z) = \lambda X(Z^2 - M^2) + gYZ,$$
(3)

where λ , M, and g are real parameters.

(a) Calculate the scalar potential V(x, y, z), i.e.

$$V(x, y, z) = |F_x|^2 + |F_y|^2 + |F_z|^2 \quad \text{and} \quad F_{\varphi}^* = -\frac{\partial W(x, y, z)}{\partial \varphi} \quad \text{for} \quad \varphi = x, y, z.$$

$$(4)$$

$$(2 \ credits)$$

- (b) Show that the VEVs of F_x , F_y , and F_z in general cannot vanish simultaneously. Hence the O'Raifeartaigh model implements *F*-term SUSY breaking.(2 credits)
- (c) Check that the minimum of the potential V(x, y, z) is at y = z = 0 when $M^2 < \frac{g^2}{2\lambda^2}$. (3 credits)
- (d) Calculate the masses of the scalars. To do so, expand the fields in terms of fluctuations around their background value defined by their VEVs (e.g. $x \rightarrow \langle x \rangle + x$). Insert the expansion into the potential and extract the terms quadratic in the fields. In order to diagonalize the mass matrix for z, use the ansatz $z = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(a + ib)$. (3 credits)
- (e) Calculate the masses of the fermions. To do this, combine ψ_y and ψ_z into a Dirac fermion ψ_D :

$$\psi_D = \left(\begin{array}{c} \psi_y \\ \bar{\psi}_z \end{array}\right).$$

As the VEV of x is undetermined, the term $x\psi_z\psi_z$ does not constitute a mass term. (2 credits)