

2b) Examples

Let's try to find Lagrangians whose e.o.m. are the Klein-Gordon and Dirac eq., respectively.

Free scalar field (complex)

$$\mathcal{L} = (\partial_\mu \phi) (\partial^\mu \phi^*) - m^2 |\phi|^2 \quad (2.14)$$

Note: ϕ, ϕ^* can be treated as independent, i.e. $\partial \phi^* / \partial \phi \equiv 0$

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial (\partial_\mu \phi)} = \partial^\mu \phi^*, \quad \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \phi} = -m^2 \phi^*$$

$$(2.7) \Rightarrow \partial_\mu \partial^\mu \phi^* + m^2 \phi^* = 0: \text{ is (compl. conj.) of K-G eq. (1.1)}$$

One complex scalar field contains 2 real scalar fields,

$$\text{proper normalization } \phi = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (\phi_r + i \phi_i), \quad \phi_r, \phi_i \in \mathbb{R} \quad (2.15)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Rightarrow \mathcal{L} &= \frac{1}{2} [\partial_\mu (\phi_r + i \phi_i)] [\partial^\mu (\phi_r - i \phi_i)] - \frac{m^2}{2} (\phi_i^2 + \phi_r^2) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} [(\partial_\mu \phi_r) (\partial^\mu \phi_r) + (\partial_\mu \phi_i) (\partial^\mu \phi_i) - m^2 (\phi_r^2 + \phi_i^2)] \quad (2.16) \end{aligned}$$

$\partial_\mu \phi_r, \partial^\mu \phi_r$ are basically same thing:

$$\frac{\partial [(\partial_\mu \phi_r) (\partial^\mu \phi_r)]}{\partial (\partial_\nu \phi_r)} = 2 \partial^\nu \phi_r$$

To see this: $\partial_\mu \phi_r \partial^\mu \phi_r = g^{\mu\alpha} \partial_\mu \phi_r \partial_\alpha \phi_r$

\Rightarrow

$$\frac{\partial [\partial_\mu \phi_r (\partial^\mu \phi_r)]}{\partial (\partial_\nu \phi_r)} = g^{\mu\alpha} [\delta_\mu^\nu \partial_\alpha \phi_r + \partial_\mu \phi_r \delta_\alpha^\nu] \\ = g^{\alpha\alpha} \partial_\alpha \phi_r + g^{\mu\nu} \partial_\mu \phi_r = \partial^\nu \phi_r \cdot 2 \quad \checkmark \checkmark$$

\Rightarrow e.o.m. from (2.16):

$$\left. \begin{aligned} 2 \cdot \frac{1}{2} \cdot \partial_\nu \partial^\nu \phi_r + 2 \cdot \frac{1}{2} m^2 \phi_r &= 0 \\ \partial_\nu \partial^\nu \phi_i + m^2 \phi_i &= 0 \end{aligned} \right\} (2.17): 2 \text{ independent, decoupled e.o.m.}!$$

Free fermions:

$$\mathcal{L} = \bar{\psi} i \not{\partial} \psi - m \bar{\psi} \psi \quad (2.18)$$

$$\not{\partial} \equiv \partial_\mu \gamma^\mu \quad (2.19), \text{ i.e. } \not{\partial} = \partial_\mu \gamma^\mu$$

Note: $\psi, \bar{\psi}$ are indep. here $\Rightarrow \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial (\partial_\mu \bar{\psi})} = 0 \Rightarrow$

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \bar{\psi}} \stackrel{!}{=} 0 = (i \not{\partial} - m) \psi : \text{Dirac eq. (1.8)}$$

Hermiticity of \mathcal{L} :

$$(\bar{\psi} \psi)^{\dagger} = \psi^{\dagger} \bar{\psi}^{\dagger} = \psi^{\dagger} (\psi^{\dagger} \gamma^0)^{\dagger} = \psi^{\dagger} \gamma^{0\dagger} \psi = \psi^{\dagger} \gamma^0 \psi = \bar{\psi} \psi, \quad \gamma^0$$

$$\begin{aligned} (\bar{\psi} i \not{\partial} \psi)^{\dagger} &= -i (\bar{\psi} \partial_\mu \gamma^\mu \psi)^{\dagger} = -i (\partial_\mu \psi)^{\dagger} \underbrace{\gamma^{\mu\dagger} \gamma^0}_{\gamma^\mu} \psi \\ &= -i (\partial_\mu \bar{\psi}) \underbrace{\gamma^0 \gamma^{\mu\dagger} \gamma^0}_{\gamma^\mu} \psi = -i \cancel{\partial_\mu (\bar{\psi} \gamma^\mu \psi)} + i \bar{\psi} \partial_\mu \gamma^\mu \psi \end{aligned}$$

total derivative!
ignore!

Have used: $\gamma^0 \gamma^{\mu\dagger} \gamma^0 = \gamma^\mu \quad (2.19) \quad (\text{from (1.10), (1.17)})$

So far, only recovered known free-field equations! Now let us try to construct interaction terms in the Lagrangian.

These involve three or more field factors in one term.

Recall: $[L] = [E]^4$; $[\partial_\mu] = [E]$

(2.14) $\Rightarrow [\phi] = [E]$: true for all bosonic fields (2.10)

(2.18) $\Rightarrow [\psi] = [E]^{3/2}$: true for all fermionic fields

An interaction theory of one real scalar ϕ and one Dirac fermion ψ could therefore have the following terms in the Lagrangian:

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{2} \partial_\mu \phi \partial^\mu \phi - \frac{1}{2} m_\phi^2 \phi^2 + \bar{\psi} i \not{\partial} \psi - m_\psi \bar{\psi} \psi - \frac{1}{6} A \phi^3 + \frac{1}{4!} \phi^4 - \lambda \phi \bar{\psi} \psi + \dots \quad (2.21)$$

$[A] = [E]$; λ, K are dimensionless

Terms "..." have energy dimension > 4 from fields (e.g. $\phi^5, \phi^6, \phi^2 \partial_\mu \phi \partial^\mu \phi, (\bar{\psi} \psi)^2, \dots$) \Rightarrow coefficients must have

negative powers of $[E]$ as units. Theories containing such terms generally have bad quantum / high energy behavior (are not renormalizable, not unitary at high E) \Rightarrow not considered for fundamental theories; can be useful as effective (low- E) theory.

Rule of thumb for fundamental theories in 4 space-time dimensions: Lagrangian should only contain terms whose coefficients are dimensionless (λ, μ), or have positive power of energy as dimension (masses, A).
(2.22)

Equations of motion from (2.21):

$$\partial_\mu \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial (\partial_\mu \phi)} = \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \phi}$$

$$\Rightarrow \partial_\mu \partial^\mu \phi = -m_\phi^2 \phi - \frac{1}{2} A \phi^2 - \frac{\lambda}{6} \phi^3 - \kappa \bar{\psi} \psi \quad (2.23)$$

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \bar{\psi}} = 0 \Rightarrow i \not{\partial} \psi = m_\psi \psi + \kappa \phi \psi \quad (2.24)$$

These are coupled, nonlinear e.o.m.: no general exact solution known \Rightarrow rely on perturbation theory!

Simple limiting cases: $\lambda = \kappa = 0$; " ϕ^3 theory"

$A = \kappa = 0$: " ϕ^4 theory"

$A = \lambda = 0$: "Yukawa theory"

Still cannot be solved exactly! Have applications in the Higgs sector of the Standard Model.